



Attorney Docket No. 1619/US (11227.00)
Express Mail No. EV 304 884 265 US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Group Art Unit: 3742
Examiner: Leung, Philip H.

Confirmation No. 7281

IN RE THE APPLICATION OF:

INVENTORS: TSONTZIDIS et al.
APPLICATION NO.: 10/008,670
FILING DATE: 7 NOVEMBER 2001
TITLE: MICROWAVE PACKAGING WITH INDENTATION PATTERNS

RECEIVED

OCT 27 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Certificate of USPS Express Mailing

Express Mail No. EV 304 884 265 US

Date of Deposit: 17 October 2003

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR § 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature: _____
Name: Jane Lalis

Sir:

Counsel for Applicants conducted a telephonic interview with Examiner Philip Leung on 24 September 2003. Pursuant to Applicants' duty under 37 C.F.R. § 1.133(b), the following is a summary of the substance of the interview.

Claims 1-3 were discussed to determine what claim language would be acceptable to distinguish the invention from the cited references, in particular U.S. Patent No. 5,310,977 to Stenkamp et al.. Examiner Leung acknowledged that the structures of the invention of the present application are different than the structures disclosed in the '977 patent. However, Examiner Leung maintained his position that the term "indentation pattern" itself was too broad and encompassed structures disclosed in the '977 patent. Examiner Leung suggested adding the term "scored" to the claims to differentiate the '977 patent, or otherwise more particularly define the term "indentation."

Applicants disagreed with Examiner Leung's assessment of the definition of "indentation." Applicants further indicated concern about the incorporation of the term "scored" in the claims as potentially introducing a process-related limitation. Applicants advised the examiner that they would consider these and other options in the preparation of a written response.

The possibility of reintroducing claims 26-41 in the event that claim 1 was found allowable was discussed. The possibility of amending claims 4-41 to additionally depend from claims 2 and 3 was discussed.

The examiner is requested to sign the Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form (PTOL-413A) and return to Applicants a copy of the signed form noting the date of the interview and summarizing the issues discussed.

Respectfully submitted this 17th day of October 2003.



Brad J. Hattenbach, Esq.
Registration No. 42,642
Customer No. 20686

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
Republic Plaza Building, Suite 4700
370 Seventeenth Street
Denver, Colorado 80202
303-629-3400 (TEL)
303-629-3450 (FAX)
hattenbach.brad@dorseylaw.com

cc: Client
Gary M. Polumbus, Esq.
Docketing